**Greater Poweshiek Community Foundation**  
**Instant Impact Grant Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>1 Point</th>
<th>2 Points</th>
<th>3 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Need**  
(Narrative Question 1) | Applicant does not describe need and project is redundant of work in the field. | Applicant description of current need is unclear or mildly addressed. | Applicant describes need in detail and has a plan for addressing the situation. |
| **Impact**  
(Narrative Question 2) | Applicant does not provide results information. | Applicant provides limited information on how results will be measured. | Applicant clearly describes how results will be measured. |
| **Feasibility**  
(Narrative Question 3) | Applicant has not secured other funding for the project or is overfunded and looks to meet the goal without Instant Impact funding. | Applicant is has secured some funding but the current fundraising goal seems unattainable. | Applicant has secured funding from other sources but still needs support from Instant Impact to meet a funding gap. |
| **New Idea**  
(Review Past PCA grants or identified in Question 1) | Applicant proposed an idea that is not new. | Applicant has proposed an idea that is slightly modified from what has been done in the past to reach a new group or population. | Applicant has proposed an activity that is completely new to the community or group served. |

- Additional 5 points can be given to projects that are an immediate need and will be implemented quickly
- Additional 5 points can be given to projects that are collaborating with another group or organization